

AFCRC-TN-59-160

ASTIA DOCUMENT NO. AD212927

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

LIBRARY

25 Waverly Place, New York 3, N. Y.



NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

Institute of Mathematical Sciences

Division of Electromagnetic Research

NOV 10 1959

RESEARCH REPORT No. EM-125

Some Spectral Properties of Weighted Random Processes

H. S. SHAPIRO and R. A. SILVERMAN

Contract No. AF 19(604)1717

MARCH, 1959



NEW YORK UNIVERSITY
Institute of Mathematical Sciences
Division of Electromagnetic Research

Research Report No. EM-125

SOME SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF WEIGHTED RANDOM PROCESSES

H.S. Shapiro and R.A. Silverman

H.S. Shapiro
H.S. Shapiro

R.A. Silverman

R.A. Silverman

Sidney Borowitz
Sidney Borowitz
Acting Director

Dr. Werner W. Gerbes
Werner Gerbes
Contract Monitor

The research in this document has been sponsored by the Air Force Cambridge Research Center, Air Research and Development Command, under Contract No. AF 19(604)1717, and by the Office of Naval Research under Contract No. N6ori-201(01). The publication of this report does not necessarily constitute approval by the Air Force of the findings or conclusions contained herein. Reproduction in whole or in part permitted for any purpose of the U.S. Government.

March, 1959

Requests for additional copies by Agencies of the Department of Defense, their contractors, and other Government agencies should be directed to the:

ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
DOCUMENTS SERVICE CENTER
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA

Department of Defense contractors must be established for ASTIA services or have their 'need-to-know' certified by the cognizant military agency of their project or contract.

All other persons and organizations should apply to the:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
OFFICE OF TECHNICAL SERVICES
WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

Abstract

We study the power spectrum and, more generally, the spectral covariance of weighted stationary processes. It is found that if the power spectrum of the underlying stationary process is suitably well behaved and properly matched to the weight function, then the high-frequency behavior of the power spectrum and spectral covariance is especially simple. Asymptotic theorems describing this behavior precisely are given.

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminary analysis	2
3. Asymptotic behavior of $\gamma(\omega)$	4
4. Examples and extensions	9
5. Asymptotic behavior of $\Gamma(\omega, \omega')$	13
6. Two weighted processes	15
References	17
Distribution List	

1. Introduction

In many problems involving random noise, the object of primary interest is a stationary random process, $x(t)$ say, but what is actually available for observation instead is the weighted process $y(t) = m(t)x(t)$, obtained by multiplying $x(t)$ by the non-random weight function $m(t)$. For example, in analyzing a radio scattering experiment, the dielectric noise $x(\vec{r})^*$, which is usually regarded as spatially stationary, is multiplied by a weight function $m(\vec{r})$ representing the joint effect of the gain patterns of the transmitting and receiving antennas, and only the region where $m(\vec{r})$ is large, the so-called scattering volume, makes an appreciable contribution to the received scattered signal [1]. Further examples abound in the important problem of experimental power spectrum measurement, where the weight functions $m(t)$ correspond to the data windows discussed by Blackman and Tukey [2]; these authors give a detailed analysis of the practical consequences of various choices of $m(t)$. Weighted processes have also been studied by Pugachev (see [3], p. 330) and Burford [4]. Indeed, in a sense, weighted processes are more physical than stationary processes, since the latter have the unreasonable property of continuing forever with constant average noise power. (Of course, this property is never taken too seriously by applied people, who customarily allude to stationary processes as convenient idealizations of physical noises).

The question with which we are mainly concerned in this paper is roughly the following: Under what conditions will the power spectra of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ be approximately the same for sufficiently high frequencies?

* By the dielectric noise we mean the refractive index fluctuations usually attributed to the action of atmospheric turbulence. Here we replace the scalar t (time) by the vector \vec{r} (position), since we are dealing with a random field.

(For, whereas it is to be expected that in general $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ will have quite different power spectra at low frequencies, because of the modulatory action of $m(t)$, it is quite possible for the spectra to be nearly the same at sufficiently high frequencies.) We find that to give even a partial answer to this question we must explore the asymptotic behavior of convolutions.

2. Preliminary analysis

Let $x(t)$ be a zero-mean stationary process, so that $E x(t) = 0$, $E x(t) \bar{x}(t') = C(t-t')$, where as usual E denotes the expectation or ensemble average, and the overbar denotes the complex conjugate. We assume that the autocorrelation function $C(\tau)$ is continuous and absolutely integrable, and write the Wiener-Khintchine relations in the form

$$C(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(i\omega\tau) f(\omega) d\omega ,$$

$$f(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega\tau) C(\tau) d\tau ,$$

where the power spectrum (more accurately, the power spectral density) $f(\omega)$ is non-negative, continuous and integrable; if $x(t)$ is real, $f(\omega)$ is even, but more generally we allow $x(t)$ to be complex. Now let $m(t)$ be a bounded continuous function, and construct the weighted process $y(t) = m(t)x(t)$. Clearly $E y(t) = 0$, $E y(t) \bar{y}(t') = m(t) \bar{m}(t') C(t-t')$, so that in particular $y(t)$ is not stationary. (However, the normalized process $y(t)/[E|y(t)|^2]^{1/2}$ is stationary.) We assume that $m(t)$ is square-integrable, which implies that the sample functions of $y(t)$, unlike those of $x(t)$, are themselves square-integrable with probability one. To see this, note that

$$E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |y(t)|^2 dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E|y(t)|^2 dt = C(0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |m(t)|^2 dt < \infty .$$

We can therefore take (L^2) Fourier transforms of the sample functions of

$y(t)$ individually, obtaining the spectral process*

$$(1) \quad Y(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega t) y(t) dt$$

with (spectral) covariance $\Gamma(\omega, \omega') = E y(\omega) \bar{Y}(\omega')$.

We now find the relation between $\Gamma(\omega, \omega')$ and the power spectrum of $x(t)$.

First we observe that

$$(2) \quad x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(i\omega t) dX(\omega) ,$$

where the integral is meant in the mean square sense, and the increments of the spectral process $X(\omega)$ obey the symbolic relation**

$$(3) \quad E dX(\omega) \bar{dX}(\omega') = f(\omega) \delta(\omega - \omega') d\omega d\omega' .$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that

$$Y(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega t) m(t) x(t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(\omega - \omega') dX(\omega') ,$$

where

$$M(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega t) m(t) dt$$

is the Fourier transform of the weight function $m(t)$. By Plancherel's theorem $M(\omega)$ is itself square-integrable, and in fact $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |M(\omega)|^2 d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |m(t)|^2 dt$.

Finally, forming the covariance of $Y(\omega)$ and using (3) we obtain

$$(4) \quad \Gamma(\omega, \omega') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(\omega-s) \bar{M}(\omega'-s) f(s) ds ,$$

the desired relation between $\Gamma(\omega, \omega')$ and $f(\omega)$. The quantity $\Gamma(\omega, \omega) = E|Y(\omega)|^2$ is appropriately called the power spectrum of $y(t)$, even though $y(t)$ is not stationary; for simplicity we shall henceforth abbreviate $\Gamma(\omega, \omega')$ to just $\gamma(\omega)$. Specializing (4) to the case $\omega = \omega'$, we obtain

* Here, and occasionally below, the integral means l.i.m. \int_{-A}^A .
 $A \rightarrow \infty$

** See e.g. [5], ch. 10; $\delta(\omega)$ is the Dirac delta function.

$$(5) \quad \gamma(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\omega-s)f(s)ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(s)f(\omega-s)ds \quad ,$$

where we have introduced the notation $K(\omega) = |M(\omega)|^2$. Thus, the power spectrum of $y(t)$ is the convolution of the power spectrum of $x(t)$ with the non-negative integrable kernel $K(\omega)$. This is a familiar result, and is given e.g. in [2]. In what follows, we shall assume, as we may without loss of generality, that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\omega)d\omega = 1$; otherwise, we need only supply an appropriate constant factor in certain obvious places.

3. Asymptotic behavior of $\gamma(\omega)$

Examining the convolution (5), we expect that if the kernel $K(\omega)$ is small compared to $f(\omega)$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, and if $f(\omega)$ does not vary too rapidly, then $\gamma(\omega)$ should behave like $f(\omega)$ for large ω , since $\gamma(\omega)$ is an average of $f(s)$ with weight $K(\omega-s)$ centered at $s = \omega$. (If, on the other hand, $K(\omega)$ is large compared to $f(\omega)$, the roles of $f(\omega)$ and $K(\omega)$ are interchanged.) Speaking even more qualitatively, when two functions are convolved it should often be possible to regard the function which falls off more rapidly as averaging the function which falls off less rapidly. We shall see that this intuitive idea can be made precise under fairly general hypotheses, but that it breaks down when $f(\omega)$ is too rapidly decreasing. First we must specify what we mean by a function which does not vary too rapidly.

Definition. A function $f(\omega)$ is slowly varying as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ (briefly, slowly varying) if $f(\omega)/f(\sigma)$ tends uniformly to 1 whenever $\omega, \sigma \rightarrow \infty$ in such a way that $\omega/\sigma \rightarrow 1$.

Slowly varying functions are related to the slowly oscillating functions that arise in connection with Tauberian theorems. A slowly oscillating

function $g(\omega)$ is one for which $|g(\omega) - g(\sigma)| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly whenever $\omega, \sigma \rightarrow \infty$ in such a way that $\omega/\sigma \rightarrow 1^*$; a slowly varying function as defined above is just the exponential of a slowly oscillating function. For example, the functions $(1 + |\omega|^p)^{-1}$ and $(1 + |\omega|^p)^{-1} \log(1 + |\omega|)$, $p > 0$, are slowly varying, but $\exp(-|\omega|^p)$, $p > 0$, is not. The following facts about slowly varying functions are an immediate consequence of the definition.

1. If $f(\omega)$ is slowly varying, it does not vanish for sufficiently large ω ; this observation is important in connection with certain expressions written below where $f(\omega)$ appears in the denominator.

2. The product and quotient of two slowly varying functions are slowly varying.

3. If for large ω the function $g(\omega)$ has a derivative and if $|g'(\omega)| \leq C/\omega$, then $|g(\omega) - g(\sigma)| = \left| \int_{\sigma}^{\omega} g'(s) ds \right| \leq C \left(\frac{\omega}{\sigma} - 1 \right)$, so that $g(\omega)$ is slowly oscillating. Thus, for $f(\omega)$ to be slowly varying it is sufficient that $f(\omega)$ be differentiable and non-vanishing and that $\omega \frac{d}{d\omega} \log f(\omega)$ be bounded, all for sufficiently large ω .

4. If $f(\omega)$ is slowly varying, positive and continuous, then if $q > 0$ is sufficiently large we have $f(\omega) < \omega^q$ for large ω . The proof is as follows: for $\omega > \omega_0$, $f(\omega)/f(\rho\omega) \leq 2$, if ρ is sufficiently close to 1 ($0 < \rho < 1$). Iterating this inequality n times, where n is the largest integer such that $\rho^{n-1}\omega > \omega_0$, we obtain $f(\omega) \leq 2^n f(\rho^n \omega) \leq M 2^n$, where M is the maximum of $f(\omega)$ in the interval $(\rho\omega_0, \omega_0)$. Now $2^n = \rho^{-cn} < (\rho\omega_0/\omega)^{-c} = A\omega^{-c}$, where $\rho^{-c} = 2$, so that $f(\omega) \leq MA\omega^{-c}$, which proves the result. Moreover, since $1/f(\omega)$ is slowly varying, we also have $f(\omega) > \omega^{-p}$ for suitable $p > 0$ and large ω .

It follows from the last remark that slowly varying functions are, roughly speaking, functions which behave like a power of ω at infinity. It

* See e.g. [6], p. 124.

will be noted that the class of slowly varying power spectra is comfortably large: for example, it contains all spectra obtained by passing white noise through linear networks, but not band-limited spectra. (However, band-limited spectra are physically unrealizable.)

Returning to our heuristic notions, we require that the kernel $K(\omega)$ be small compared to $f(\omega)$ for large ω . The mathematical condition which expresses this most naturally is that

$$(6) \quad \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} K(\omega)/f(\omega) = 0 \quad .$$

It is important to note that (6) implies nothing about the relative "widths" of $f(\omega)$ and $K(\omega)$. For example, the "halfwidth" of $K(\omega) = T/\pi[1+(Tw)^2]$ is $1/T$, while that of $f(\omega) = 1/(1+|\omega|^p)$, $0 < p < 2$, is 1, but with this choice (6) is valid for any $T > 0$. Thus, no restriction on the width of data windows will figure in our results.

We are now in a position to state

Theorem 1. (Asymptotic convolution theorem) Let $f(\omega)$ and $K(\omega)$ be non-negative integrable functions (with $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\omega)d\omega = 1$). If $f(\omega)$ is slowly varying and non-increasing for sufficiently large ω , and if

$$(6) \quad \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} K(\omega)/f(\omega) = 0 \quad ,$$

then

$$(7) \quad \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\omega)/f(\omega) = 1 \quad ,$$

where $\gamma(\omega)$ is the convolution (5).

Remark 1. Of course, the theorem remains true if ∞ is replaced by $-\infty$ in the hypotheses and conclusions.

Remark 2. Actually, we need not assume that $f(\omega)$ is non-increasing, but the somewhat milder restriction

$$(8) \quad f(\omega) \leq Cf(\sigma) , \text{ when } \omega_0 < \omega < \sigma ,$$

where C is a constant independent of ω . It seems plausible that (8) is actually a redundant hypothesis, i.e. that $f(\omega)$ being integrable and slowly varying implies (8), but we could not show this.

Proof of Theorem 1. We have

$$(9) \quad \gamma(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^R K(s)f(\omega-s)ds + \int_R^{\infty} K(s)f(\omega-s)ds ,$$

where $R = R(\omega)$ is a function of ω to be specified later. For the moment we suppose only that

$$(10) \quad R(\omega) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \omega \rightarrow \infty ,$$

$$(11) \quad \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} R(\omega)/\omega = 0 .$$

Let us denote the two integrals in (9) by $\gamma_1(\omega)$ and $\gamma_2(\omega)$, respectively.

We consider first the quantity

$$\gamma_1(\omega)/f(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^R K(s)[f(\omega-s)/f(\omega)]ds ,$$

which it is convenient to rewrite as

$$(12) \quad \gamma_1(\omega)/f(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(s)\phi_R(s)[f(\omega-s)/f(\omega)]ds ,$$

where $\phi_R(s)$ is the characteristic function of the interval $(-\infty, R(\omega))$, i.e. the function equal to 1 when s lies in $(-\infty, R(\omega))$ and to 0 otherwise. Now the ratio $f(\omega-s)/f(\omega)$ is bounded in the two-dimensional region $\omega > \omega_0, -\infty < s < R(\omega)$, where ω_0 is a suitably large number. For $0 \leq s < R(\omega)$, this follows from (11) and the fact that $f(\omega)$ is slowly

varying; for $s < 0$, it follows from the fact that $f(\omega)$ is non-increasing (or, more generally, satisfies (8)). Moreover, for each fixed s , $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} f(\omega-s)/f(\omega) = 1$ (again because $f(\omega)$ is slowly varying). Thus since $K(\omega)$ is integrable, we can use the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to justify passing to the limit under the integral in (12), with the result

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_1(\omega)/f(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(s)ds = 1 .$$

Consequently, the proof of Theorem 1 will be complete when we show that

$$(13) \quad \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_2(\omega)/f(\omega) = 0 .$$

Now, in view of (6) we can write

$$K(\omega) = \varepsilon(\omega)f(\omega) ,$$

where $\varepsilon(\omega) \rightarrow 0$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. This gives

$$\gamma_2(\omega) = \int_R^{\infty} \varepsilon(s)f(s)f(\omega-s)ds$$

for the second integral in (9). Therefore, since $f(\omega)$ is non-increasing (or satisfies (8))

$$\gamma_2(\omega) \leq \varepsilon_0(R)Cf(R) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\omega-s)ds = C' \varepsilon_0(R)f(R) ,$$

where C' is a constant and

$$\varepsilon_0(\omega) = \sup_{\sigma \geq \omega} \varepsilon(\sigma) .$$

Note that $\varepsilon_0(\omega)$ is non-increasing and $\rightarrow 0$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, to establish (13) and thereby complete the proof, it suffices to construct a function $H(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ which satisfies (11) and is such that

$$(14) \quad \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_0(R)f(R)/f(\omega) = 0 .$$

Since $f(\omega)$ is slowly varying, there exists a number ρ , $0 < \rho < 1$, such that

$$(15) \quad f(\rho\omega) \leq 2f(\omega) \quad ,$$

provided that ω is suitably large. Iteration of (15) leads to the relation

$$(16) \quad f(\rho^n\omega) \leq 2^n f(\omega) \quad ,$$

which holds for all n , again provided ω is in each case suitably large. Now, since $\varepsilon_0(\omega) \rightarrow 0$, we can construct a sequence $\omega_1 < \omega_2 < \omega_3 < \dots$ of positive numbers with the properties

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_n &> n\rho^{-n} \quad , \\ \varepsilon_0(\rho^n\omega_n) &< 3^{-n} \quad , \end{aligned}$$

and also large enough to guarantee the validity of (16) for $\omega \geq \omega_n$.

We now define

$$R(\omega) = \rho^n\omega \quad , \quad \omega_n \leq \omega < \omega_{n+1} \quad .$$

Since $R(\omega) \geq \rho^n\omega_n > n$, for $\omega_n \leq \omega < \omega_{n+1}$, (10) is satisfied. Moreover, since $R(\omega)/\omega = \rho^n$ for $\omega_n \leq \omega < \omega_{n+1}$, (11) holds. Finally, using (16) and (17) we have

$$\varepsilon_0(R)f(R)/f(\omega) \leq 2^n \varepsilon_0(\rho^n\omega_n) < (2/3)^n \quad ,$$

for $\omega_n \leq \omega < \omega_{n+1}$, which establishes (14) and completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Examples and extensions

We now give examples which illustrate the meaning of Theorem 1.

In some examples one or the other of the hypotheses of the theorem is violated, with the result that $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\omega)/f(\omega) \neq 1$. In other examples the requirements on $f(\omega)$ are weakened but those on $K(\omega)$ are

strengthened, with the result that $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\omega)/f(\omega) = 1$ remain valid.

1. An especially simple weight function is

$$m(t) = \sqrt{\pi/T} \quad , \quad |t| \leq T \quad ,$$

$$m(t) = 0 \quad , \quad |t| > T \quad ,$$

i.e. a "wide open" data window. The corresponding (Fejér) kernel is

$$K(\omega) = M^2(\omega) = \sin^2 T\omega / \pi T\omega^2 \quad .$$

If now $f(\omega) = 1/(1+|\omega|^p)$, $1 < p < 2$, then according to Theorem 1, no matter how close p is to 2 and regardless of the size of T , the convolution (5) approximates $f(\omega)$ for sufficiently large ω . (How large ω must be for good approximation will depend, of course, on p and T .) This result would be difficult to verify by direct calculation.

2. Even when it can be done, it is usually very tedious to verify Theorem 1 directly. For example, let $K(\omega) = \sqrt{2}/\pi(1+\omega^4)$, $f(\omega) = 1/(1+\omega^2)$, which satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1. The convolution of these two functions can be evaluated by residues and is found to be

$$\gamma(\omega) = (\omega^6 + 6\omega^4 + 2\omega^2) / (\omega^8 + 4\omega^6 + 8\omega^4 - 8\omega^2 + 4) + \sqrt{2}(\omega^2 - 6) / (\omega^6 + 4\omega^4 + 4\omega^2 + 16) \quad .$$

We see at once that $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\omega)/f(\omega) = 1$.

3. As an example of a case where condition (6) is violated, choose $K(\omega)$ and $f(\omega)$ to be the same (slowly varying) function $1/\pi(1+\omega^2)$. Then the convolution (5) is found to be $\gamma(\omega) = 2/\pi(\omega^2 + 4)$, so that

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\omega)/f(\omega) = 2 \text{ instead of 1.}$$

4. Choose $f(\omega) = e^{-a|\omega|}$, $a > 0$, which is not slowly varying, and let $K(\omega)$ be decreasing for large ω and such that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{a\omega} K(\omega) d\omega = A < \infty$.

The the convolution (5) is

$$\gamma(\omega) = e^{-a\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\omega} e^{as} K(s) ds + e^{a\omega} \int_{\omega}^{\infty} e^{-as} K(s) ds .$$

Since for large enough ω the second integral does not exceed

$$e^{a\omega} K(\omega) \int_{\omega}^{\infty} e^{-as} ds = K(\omega)/a, \text{ and since } \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} K(\omega)/f(\omega) = 0, \text{ it follows}$$

that $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\omega)/f(\omega) = A$, where in general $A \neq 1$.

5. Choose $f(\omega) = |\omega| e^{-|\omega|}$ and $K(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|\omega|}$. Although $f(\omega)$

is not slowly varying, (6) is satisfied. The convolution (5) is an elementary integral and is found to be $\gamma(\omega) = \frac{1}{4} e^{-\omega} (1 + \omega + \omega^2)$, $\omega > 0$, so

that $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\omega)/f(\omega) = \infty$.

6. As a more complicated example, choose $K(\omega) = a e^{-|\omega|^p}$, $p > 0^*$,

and $f(\omega) = e^{-|\omega|^q}$, where $0 < q < p/(p+1)$. Again $f(\omega)$ is not slowly varying, but (6) holds, and it is in fact true that $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\omega)/f(\omega) = 1$.

To show this, we note first that in the proof of Theorem 1 we can replace the hypothesis that $f(\omega)$ be slowly varying by the weaker condition

(18) $f(\omega)/f(\sigma) \rightarrow 1$ uniformly as $\omega, \sigma \rightarrow \infty$ in such a way that $|\omega - \sigma| < R(\omega)$ in showing that $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_1(\omega)/f(\omega) = 1$, for the full force of the slowly varying condition is not needed in this part of the proof. If now we strengthen the requirements on $K(\omega)$ to

$K(\omega)$ is non-increasing for large enough ω ,

(19) $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} K(R)/f(\omega) = 0$,

then, since $\gamma_2(\omega) = \int_R^{\infty} K(s) f(\omega-s) ds$ is bounded above by $K(R) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\omega-s) ds$,

$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_2(\omega)/f(\omega) = 0$ by (19), so that $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\omega)/f(\omega) = 1$ is still valid.

Now let $R(\omega) = \omega^{\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, where α will be chosen later. Then, if

* The constant α is chosen to make $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\omega) d\omega = 1$.

$0 < \omega < \sigma$, we have

$$f(\omega)/f(\sigma) = \exp(\sigma^q - \omega^q) = \exp[q(\sigma - \omega)\rho^{q-1}], \quad \omega < \rho < \sigma,$$

by the mean value theorem. If $\sigma - \omega < \omega^q$, the exponent on the right will tend to zero, i.e. (18) will hold if $\alpha + q < 1$. Moreover,

$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} K(\omega^q)/f(\omega) = 0$, provided that we have $q < p\alpha$ as well as $\alpha + q < 1$.

These inequalities are compatible if we can insert a number between

q/p and $1-q$, i.e. if $q/p < 1-q$ or $q < p/(p+1)$, which completes the demonstration of our example.

7. The conclusion of Theorem 1 remains true if we replace the hypothesis that $f(\omega)$ is integrable by the weaker hypothesis that $f(\omega)$ is bounded, provided that we also replace (6) by the stronger condition

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\omega}^{\infty} K(s)ds/f(\omega) = 0.$$

For, since integrability of $f(\omega)$ was used only in showing that $\gamma_2(\omega)$ satisfies $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_2(\omega)/f(\omega) = 0$, it suffices to show that the same is true with the altered hypotheses. Let us define $\varepsilon(\omega) = \int_{\omega}^{\infty} K(s)ds/f(\omega)$,

which by hypothesis $\rightarrow 0$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. Then if $f(\omega) \leq M$

$$\gamma_2(\omega)/f(\omega) \leq M \int_R^{\infty} K(s)ds/f(\omega) \leq M \varepsilon(R) f(R)/f(\omega),$$

and as above we know that we can construct a function $R = R(\omega)$ satisfying (10) and (11) and such that $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(R) f(R)/f(\omega) = 0$. Hence the proof is complete. Applying this result to the kernel of example 1, we have $\int_{\omega}^{\infty} K(s)ds = O(1/\omega)$, so that the example is valid for $0 \leq p < 1$ as well as for $1 < p < 2$.

8. Continuing our discussion of example 1, we note that the case

$p = 1$ is not covered by the arguments given so far. This case may be inferred from the following extension of Theorem 1: if $f(\omega)$ is assumed to be of class $L^{q'}$, $q' > 1$, rather than of class L^1 , and we define $q = q'/(q'-1)$, then the conclusion of Theorem 1 remains valid if (6) is replaced by the requirement

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{\omega}^{\infty} [K(s)]^q ds \right\}^{1/q} / f(\omega) = 0.$$

For we have only to apply Hölder's inequality, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\omega} f(\omega-s)K(s)ds \leq \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\omega} [f(\omega-s)]^{q'} ds \right\}^{1/q'} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\omega} [K(s)]^q ds \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\omega} [K(s)]^q ds \right\}^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

and then proceed as in the previous example. In particular, if $K(\omega) = O(\omega^{-m})$ with $m > 1$, and $f(\omega) = 1/(1+|\omega|^p)$, $0 < p \leq 1$, choose $q' = \mu/p$, where $\mu > 1$ is to be determined. Then $f(\omega) \in L^{q'}$ and we have only to verify that $(\omega^{-qm+1})^{1/q} \omega^p \rightarrow 0$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. that the exponent $-m + \frac{1}{q} + p = p + 1 - \frac{p}{\mu} - m$ is negative, which is clearly true when μ is close enough to 1. Hence, combining results, we find that example 1 is valid for $0 \leq p < 2$.

5. Asymptotic behavior of $\Gamma(\omega, \omega')$

So far we have been concerned with the power spectrum $\gamma(\omega) = E|Y(\omega)|^2$.

We now turn our attention to the covariance $\Gamma(\omega, \omega') = EY(\omega)\bar{Y}(\omega')$, given by

$$(4) \quad \Gamma(\omega, \omega') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(\omega-s)\bar{M}(\omega'-s)f(s)ds.$$

The asymptotic behavior of $\Gamma(\omega, \omega')$ is described by the following

generalization of Theorem 1:

Theorem 2. Let $M(\omega)$ be square-integrable with autoconvolution

$$m(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(\omega+s)\bar{M}(s)ds \quad , \quad m(0) = 1 .$$

Let $f(\omega)$ be a non-negative integrable function which is slowly varying and non-increasing for sufficiently large ω . Suppose, as in Theorem 1, that

$$(6) \quad \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} K(\omega)/f(\omega) = 0 \quad ,$$

where $K(\omega) = |M(\omega)|^2$, and in addition suppose that $m(\omega)$ has a positive lower bound for $0 \leq \omega \leq A$. Then, if $\omega \leq \omega'' \leq \omega'$ all $\rightarrow \infty$ in such a way that $|\omega-\omega'| \leq A$, we have

$$(20) \quad \Gamma(\omega, \omega') / f(\omega'')m(\omega-\omega') \rightarrow 1$$

uniformly, where $\Gamma(\omega, \omega')$ is the covariance (4).

Remark 1. When $\omega = \omega'$, Theorem 2 reduces to Theorem 1.

Remark 2. Both of the remarks made after Theorem 1 apply to Theorem 2 as well.

Remark 3. Of course, Theorem 2 is susceptible to the same kind of extensions as Theorem 1 (see Section 4).

Remark 4. If we choose $\omega'' = \frac{1}{2}(\omega+\omega')$, then (20) asserts that $\Gamma(\omega, \omega')$ is asymptotically the locally stationary covariance $f\left[\frac{1}{2}(\omega+\omega')\right]m(\omega-\omega')$ [7].

Proof of Theorem 2. Since the proof is almost identical with that of Theorem 1, we may be quite brief. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\omega, \omega') &= \int_{-\infty}^R M(s)\bar{M}(s+\omega'-\omega)f(\omega-s)ds + \int_R^{\infty} M(s)\bar{M}(s+\omega'-\omega)f(\omega-s)ds \\ &= \Gamma_1(\omega, \omega') + \Gamma_2(\omega, \omega') \quad , \end{aligned}$$

where $R = R(\omega)$ is a function chosen as in Theorem 1. Applying the dominated convergence theorem to the first integral we get

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_1(\omega, \omega')/f(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(s)M(s+\omega'-\omega)ds = \eta(\omega-\omega') ,$$

where in the left hand side $f(\omega)$ can be replaced by ω'' since $f(\omega)/f(\omega'') \rightarrow 1$. Then, applying the elementary inequality $|ab| \leq \frac{1}{2}(|a|^2 + |b|^2)$ to the second integral, we have

$$|\Gamma_2(\omega, \omega')| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_R^{\infty} [|M(s)|^2 + |M(s+\omega'-\omega)|^2] f(\omega-s)ds .$$

It follows that $\Gamma_2(\omega, \omega')/f(\omega)$, and hence $\Gamma_2(\omega, \omega')/f(\omega'')$, tends to zero precisely as in Theorem 1, where now $\frac{1}{2}[|M(s)|^2 + |M(s+\omega'-\omega)|^2]$ plays the role of $K(\omega)$.

6. Two weighted processes

There is another direction in which we can generalize Theorem 1, namely, we can consider the case of two weighted random processes. Thus, let $m_1(t)$ and $m_2(t)$ be two square-integrable weight functions and consider the two processes $y_1(t) = m_1(t)x(t)$ and $y_2(t) = m_2(t)x(t)$, both derived from the same underlying stationary process $x(t)$. Then if $Y_1(\omega)$ and $Y_2(\omega)$ are the Fourier transforms of $y_1(t)$ and $y_2(t)$, which by a previous argument exist with probability one, we have

$$(21) \quad \gamma_{12}(\omega) = EY_1(\omega)\bar{Y}_2(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_1(\omega-s)\bar{M}_2(\omega-s)f(s)ds ,$$

where $M_1(\omega)$ and $M_2(\omega)$ are the Fourier transforms of $m_1(t)$ and $m_2(t)$.

These considerations suggest the following theorem:

Theorem 3. Let $f(\omega)$ be a non-negative integrable function which is slowly varying and non-increasing for sufficiently large ω . Let $M_1(\omega)$ and $M_2(\omega)$ be square-integrable functions such that

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} M_1(\omega) \bar{M}_2(\omega) / f(\omega) = 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{12}(\omega) / f(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_1(\omega) \bar{M}_2(\omega) d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} m_1(t) \bar{m}_2(t) dt ,$$

where $\gamma_{12}(\omega)$ is the quantity (21).

Like Theorem 2, the proof of this theorem is essentially the same as that of Theorem 1, where this time we identify $K(\omega)$ with $M_1(\omega) \bar{M}_2(\omega)$.

Again the two remarks made after Theorem 1 apply to Theorem 3 as well: moreover, Theorem 3 is susceptible to the same extensions as Theorem 1 (see Section 4). The meaning of Theorem 3 is illustrated by the case where $m_2(t)$ is a translate of $m_1(t)$, i.e. $m_2(t) = m_1(t+\tau)$. We take $m_1(t)$ to be a real-valued data window which peaks about some central values. Suppose that the two data windows overlap only slightly, i.e. suppose τ is such that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} m_1(t) m_1(t+\tau) dt \ll \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} m_1^2(t) dt = 1 .$$

Then Theorem 3 asserts that $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{12}(\omega) / \gamma(\omega) \ll 1$, where as usual $\gamma(\omega)$ is the convolution (5). Qualitatively, this means that if we take two essentially non-overlapping sections of a stationary process $x(t)$, we shall find that their high-frequency contents are only weakly correlated, even if the two sections both lie well within the correlation distance or memory of $x(t)$, provided, of course, that appropriate conditions are met by $x(t)$ and the data windows involved.

References

- [1] - Proceedings I.R.E., Scatter Propagation Issue, 43, No. 10 (1955).
- [2] Blackman, R.B. and Tukey, J.W. - The measurement of power spectra from the point of view of communications engineering; Bell System Tech. J., 37, 185-282, 485-569 (1958).
- [3] Pugachev, V.S. - The theory of random functions and its application to problems of automatic control (in Russian); Gos. Izdat. Tekh.-Teor. Lit., Moscow (1957).
- [4] Burford, T.M. - Nonstationary velocity estimation; Bell System Tech. J., 37, 1009-1021 (1958).
- [5] Loève, M. - Probability Theory; New York, D. Van Nostrand Inc., (1955).
- [6] Hardy, G.H. - Divergent Series; Oxford (1949).
- [7] Silverman, R.A. - Locally stationary random processes; I.R.E. Transactions Info. Theory, IT-3, 182-187 (1957); also N.Y.U., Inst. Math. Sci., Div. EM Res., Research Report No. MME-2, Apr. 1957.

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR RESEARCH REPORTS

(ONE copy unless otherwise noted)

Commander
Air Research and Development Command
Washington 25, D.C.
ATTN: Major E. Wright, RDTCC

Director
Air University Library
Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama

Commander
Air Force Missile Test Center
Patrick Air Force Base, Florida
ATTN: Technical Library, MU-111

Tactical Air Group
Directorate of Research and Dev., DCS/D
Headquarters, USAF
Washington, D.C.
ATTN: Major R.L. Stell

Director, Communications and Electronics
HQ U.S. Air Force
Washington 25, D.C.
ATTN: AFOAC S/E

Commander :
Air Technical Intelligence Center
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio
ATTN: AFCIN-4BIA

Commander
Wright Air Development Center
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio
ATTN: Paul Springer, WCLRE-5

Commander
Rome Air Development Center
Griffiss Air Force Base, New York
ATTN: RCSSTL-1

Commander
Air Force Missile Development Center
Holloman Air Force Base, New Mexico
ATTN: HDOIL, Technical Library

Director
Evans Signal Laboratory
Belmar, New Jersey
ATTN: Mr. G.C. Woodyard

U.S. Army Signal Engineering Labs.
Evans Signal Laboratory
Belmar, New Jersey
ATTN: Technical Document Center

Commander
Redstone Arsenal
Huntsville, Alabama
ATTN: Technical Library

Commanding General
U.S. Army Signal Engineering Labs.
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey
ATTN: SIGFM/EL-AT

Department of the Army
Office of the Chief Signal Officer
Washington 25, D.C.
ATTN: SIORD-7

Department of the Army
Office of the Chief Signal Officer
Engineering and Technical Division
Washington 25, D.C.
ATTN: SIGNET-5

(10) Armed Services Technical Information Agency
Document Service Center
Arlington Hall Station
Arlington 12, Virginia
ATTN: TICSCP

(2) Library
Boulder Laboratories
National Bureau of Standards
Boulder, Colorado

National Bureau of Standards
Department of Commerce
Washington 25, D.C.
ATTN: Dr. A.G. McNish

(2) Office of Technical Services
Department of Commerce
Washington 25, D.C.
ATTN: Technical Reports Section

(2) HQ. Air Force Cambridge Research Center
Laurence G. Hanscom Field
Bedford, Massachusetts
ATTN: CROTLR-2 - P. Condon

(5) HQ. Air Force Cambridge Research Center
Laurence G. Hanscom Field
Bedford, Massachusetts
ATTN: CROTLR - J. Armstrong

HQ. Air Force Cambridge Research Center
Laurence G. Hanscom Field
Bedford, Massachusetts
ATTN: CRFD - R.E. Hiatt

Director, Avionics Division (AV)
Bureau of Aeronautics
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D.C.

Chief, Bureau of Ships
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D.C.
ATTN: Mr. E. Johnston, Code 833E

Commander
U.S. Naval Air Missile Test Center
Point Mugu, California
ATTN: Code 366

Commander
Air Research and Development Command
ATTN: RDTIR
Andrews Air Force Base
Washington 25, D.C.

U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory
White Oak
Silver Spring 19, Maryland
ATTN: Library

Commander
U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Station
China Lake, California
ATTN: Code 753

Librarian
U.S. Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California

Director
U.S. Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D.C.
ATTN: Code 2027

Chief of Naval Research
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D.C.
ATTN: Code 427

Commanding Officer and Director
U.S. Naval Electronics Laboratory (Library)
San Diego 52, California

Department of the Navy
Bureau of Aeronautics
Technical Data Division, Code 4106
Washington 25, D.C.

Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc.
Whippany Laboratory
Whippany, N.J.
ATTN: Technical Information Library

Boeing Airplane Company
Pilotless Aircraft Division
P.O. Box 3707
Seattle 24, Washington
ATTN: R.R. Barber, Library Supervisor

Boeing Airplane Company
Seattle Division
Seattle 14, Washington
ATTN: E.T. Allen, Library Supervisor

Convair, A Div. of General Dynamics Corp.
San Diego 12, California
ATTN: Mrs. Dore B. Burke, Engineering Lib

Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc.
1455 Genesee Street
Buffalo 21, New York
ATTN: Librarian

Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 200
Long Beach 1, California
ATTN: Engineering Library (C-250)

Sylvania Electric Prod. Inc.
Electronics Defense Laboratory
P.O. Box 205
Mountain View, California
ATTN: Library

Emerson and Cuming, Inc.
869 Washington Street
Canton, Massachusetts
ATTN: Mr. W.R. Cuming

Federal Telecommunication Labs.
500 Washington Avenue
Nutley 10, N.J.
ATTN: Technical Library

General Electric Company
Electronics Park
Syracuse, New York
ATTN: Documents Library, B. Fletcher
Bldg. 3-143A

Hughes Aircraft Co.
Antenna Department
Microwave Laboratory
Building 12, Room 2617
Culver City, California
ATTN: M.D. Adcock

Hughes Aircraft Company
Florence and Teale Streets
Culver City, California
ATTN: Dr. L.C. Van Atta, Assoc. Director
Research Labs.

Hycon Eastern, Inc.
75 Cambridge Parkway
Cambridge, Massachusetts
ATTN: Mrs. Lois Seulowitz
Technical Librarian

Jansky and Bailey, Inc.
1339 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W.
Washington 7, D.C.
ATTN: Mr. Delmer C. Ports

Electromagnetic Research Corp.
711 14th Street, N.W.
Washington 5, D.C.

The Glenn L. Martin Company
Baltimore 3, Maryland
ATTN: Engineering Library
Antenna Design Group

Mathematical Reviews
190 Hope Street
Providence 6, R.I.

Page Communications Engineers, Inc.
710 Fourteenth Street, Northwest
Washington 5, D.C.
ATTN: Librarian

Pickard and Burns, Inc.
240 Highland Avenue
Needham 91, Massachusetts
ATTN: Dr. J.T. DeBettencourt

Polytechnic Research and Dev. Co., Inc.
202 Tillary Street
Brooklyn 1, New York
ATTN: Technical Library

RCA Laboratories
David Sarnoff Research Center
Princeton, New Jersey
ATTN: Miss Fern Cloak, Librarian
Research Library

The Ramo-Wooldridge Corp.
5730 Arbor Vista Street
Los Angeles 45, California
ATTN: Margaret C. Whitnah
Chief Librarian

Director, USAF Project RAND
Vis: Air Force Liaison Office
The Rand Corporation
1700 Main Street
Santa Monica, California

Raytheon Manufacturing Company
Wayland Laboratory
Wayland, Massachusetts
ATTN: Miss Alice G. Anderson, Librarian

Ryan Aeronautical Company
Lindbergh Field
San Diego 12, California
ATTN: Library

Sandia Corp., Sandia Base,
P.O. Box 5800, Albuquerque, N.M.
ATTN: Document Division

Stanford Research Institute
Menlo Park, California
ATTN: Library, Engineering Division

Technical Research Group
17 Union Square West
New York 3, New York
ATTN: M.L. Henderson, Librarian

Bell Telephone Laboratories
Murray Hill, New Jersey

Office of Scientific Research
Air Research and Development Command
14th Street and Constitution Avenue
ATTN: Mr. Otting
Washington, D.C.

Lockheed Aircraft Corp.
Missile Systems Div. Research Library
Box 504, Sunnyvale, California
ATTN: Miss Eva Lou Robertson, Chief Libr.

University of Florida
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
ATTN: Prof. M.H. Latour, Library

Library
Georgia Institute of Technology
Engineering Experiment Station
Atlanta, Georgia
ATTN: Mrs. J.H. Crosland, Librarian

Harvard University
Technical Reports Collection
Gordon McKay Library, 303A Pierce Hall
Oxford Street, Cambridge 38, Massachusetts
ATTN: Mrs. E.L. Hufschmidt, Librarian

Harvard College Observatory
60 Garden Street
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts
ATTN: Dr. Fred L. Whipple

University of Illinois
Documents Division Library
Urbana, Illinois

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Lincoln Laboratory
P.O. Box 73
Lexington 73, Massachusetts
ATTN: Document Room A-229

University of Michigan
Willow Run Laboratories
Willow Run Airport
Ypsilanti, Michigan
ATTN: Mr. K.M. Siegel

University of Michigan
Engineering Research Institute
Willow Run Laboratories
Willow Run Airport
Ypsilanti, Michigan
ATTN: Librarian

University of Minnesota
Minneapolis 14, Minnesota
ATTN: Mr. Robert H. Stumm, Library

Ohio State University Research Foundation
Ohio State University
Columbus 10, Ohio
ATTN: Dr. T.E. Tice
Dept. of Electrical Engineering

(2) The University of Texas
Electrical Engineering Research Lab.
P.O. Box 8026, University Station
Austin 12, Texas
ATTN: Dr. A.W. Straiton, Director

Cornell University
School of Electrical Engineering
Ithaca, New York
ATTN: Prof. W.E. Gordon

Cornell University
School of Electrical Engineering
Ithaca, New York
ATTN: Secretary

Smyth Research Associates
3555 Aero Court
San Diego 11, California
ATTN: Dr. J.B. Smyth

Pennsylvania State University
Ionosphere Research Laboratory
State College, Penn.
ATTN: Prof. A.H. Waynick, Director

U.S. Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D.C.
ATTN: Mr. W.S. Amant, Code 5270

General Electric Research Laboratory
P.O. Box 1088
Schenectady, New York
ATTN: Dr. L.G. Abraham

The Rand Corporation
1700 Main Street
Santa Monica, California
ATTN: Dr. W.C. Hoffman

Stanford University
Electrical Engineering Dept.
Palo Alto, California
ATTN: Dr. A.T. Waterman

The Ramo-Wooldridge Corp.
8820 Bellanca Avenue
Los Angeles 45, California
ATTN: Dr. A.D. Wheelon

(20) Air Force Cambridge Research Center
Laurence G. Hanscom Field
Bedford, Massachusetts
ATTN: Ming S. Wong, CRCK

NO. 1 195 Date Due

125 Saks, M.S.
Siliqman, R. A.
Some spectral properties of
weighted random processes

126 Saks, M.S.
Siliqman, R. A.
The spectral properties of
weighted random processes.

**N. Y. U. Institute of
Mathematical Sciences**
25 Waverly Place
New York 3, N. Y.

